Original Article



DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.16926/par.2017.05.14

Self-esteem analyses in people who are deaf or hard of hearing: a comparison between active and inactive individuals

Authors' Contribution:

Dagmar Nemček

A - Study Design

- B Data Collection C – Statistical Analysis
- D Manuscript Preparation
- E Funds Collection

Comenius University, Faculty of Physical Education and Sports, Bratislava, Slovakia

Abstract

The aim of the study was to analyse the status of SE in people who are deaf or hard of hearing (D/HH) and compare SE scores between active and inactive individuals. The sample of people who are D/HH (n=117) was divided into two groups of those who are regularly participating in sport (active; n=27) and those who are not participating in any sport in their leisure (inactive; n=90). The Rosenberg Self-Esteem Scale (RSES) was used as a primary research method. 10-item scale measures global self-worth by measuring positive and negative feelings about the self. Higher scores (from 10 to 40 points) indicate higher SE. The Pearson chi-square test was used to determine the differences of 10 RSES items and total scores between active and inactive people who are D/HH. We found that the mean score of RSES in the group of people who are D/HH was 28.83 points; active people who are D/HH observed total score of RSES 30.18 points and group of inactive people who are D/HH showed the lowest SE by achieving 28.89 points. Mean scores comparison of each RSES item between active and inactive people who are D/HH revealed higher SE in the group of active people with hearing loss. Significantly higher SE of active people who are D/HH was presented only by 1 from 10 RSES items. The results of our study confirmed that actively living people with hearing loss have higher SE comparing those who are living sedentary life style.

Key words: Rosenberg self-esteem scale, items, participation in sport, hearing loss.

www.physactiv.ajd.czest.pl

Address for correspondence:

Dagmar Nemček, PhD. Comenius University in Bratislava, Faculty of Physical Education and Sports, Dep. of Sport Educology and Sport Humanities, Nábr. Arm. Gen. L. Svobodu 9, 814 69 Bratislava, Slovakia; email: e-mail: dagmar.nemcek@uniba.sk

Recevied: 23.02.2016; Accepted: 08.03.2016; Published online: 19.07.2017

INTRODUCTION

A person who is not able to hear as well as someone with normal hearing – hearing thresholds of 25 dB or better in both ears – is said to have hearing loss. Hearing loss may be mild, moderate, severe, or profound. It can affect one ear or both ears, and leads to difficulty in hearing conversational speech or loud sounds [1].

Self-esteem (SE) is considered integral to the self-concept, and can be defined in terms of positive feelings about the self [2]. SE has become a household word. Teachers, parents, therapists, and others have focused efforts on boosting self-esteem, on the assumption that high self-esteem will cause many positive outcomes and benefits [3]. It is integral to an individual's sense of their own value [4, 5], a principal component of mental health [6], a strong indicator of a healthy lifestyle [7-9], and an important indicator of well-being [10, 11]. Although minority groups, like people with disabilities, often suffer stigmatization [6, 12] and poor SE [13], it is widely believed that membership of such a group has a protective effect on SE because of the tendency to identify with the minority group [14, 6].

One way of boosting SE is participation in sport [15, 16]. There are numerous benefits of sport participation in terms of both physical and psychological well-being (e.g., self-esteem). SE is an important psychological variable [17] and facet of personality [18] in competitive sport. Authors [18] demonstrated that individuals with higher SE tend to perceive competitive sport as challenging, whereas individuals with lower SE regard it as threatening. Although it is well known that participation in sport (at the recreational and elite level) can promote well-being not only among healthy athletes but also those with non-communicable diseases [19-21] and disabilities [22-24]. High-level competitive athletes participate in sport under conditions that present considerable physical and psychosocial stressors [25]. Elite athletes (healthy as well with disabilities) must continually strive for success in a highly competitive and stressful environment; thus, high-level competitive sport can have either a detrimental or beneficial influence on the wellbeing and health of athletes [26, 27].

Studies of SE in people who are deaf or hard of hearing (D/HH) suggest that the dominant hearing culture has relegated them to less valued social categories such as handicapped and outsider [28, 29]. Such prejudice, discrimination, and stigmatization are likely to be psychologically harmful [30, 31], a view echoed by Bat-Chava [32], who found that deaf individuals have reduced SE because of stigmatization of the Deaf community. According to the WHO, 'hard of hearing' refers to people with hearing loss ranging from mild to severe. People who are hard of hearing usually communicate through spoken language and can benefit from hearing aids, cochlear implants, and other assistive devices as well as captioning. People with more significant hearing losses may benefit from cochlear implants. 'Deaf' people mostly have profound hearing loss, which implies very little or no hearing. They often use sign language for communication [1]. For deaf people, sport participation can establish a sense of belonging to the Deaf community because many deaf athletes do not use hearing aids or cochlear implants to communicate with hearing people, preferring to use sign language with other deaf athletes [33, 34]. Bat-Chava [32] found evidence that positive school experiences are linked to higher SE for deaf children. However, few studies have investigated the broader psychosocial outcomes of sport for deaf people [35].

Considering the previous research findings, the aim of our research was to determine the SE status in people who are D/HH; analyze SE total scores as well as Rosenberg Self-Esteem Scale items scores in two groups of active and inactive people who are D/HH and compare SE between actively living people who are D/HH and those who living sedentary life style (inactive).

METHODS

Participants and procedure

Group of people who are D/HH (n=117) were recruited for the study. The sample was divided into active participants (n=27) who regularly participated in physical activities and sports at least two time per week and inactive (n=90) who did not participate in any sport in their leisure time. Participants were contacted through representatives of national organisations and schools all around Slovakia unifying people with hearing loss. Questionnaires were filled out during meetings organised by national organisation in attendance of interpreter into the sign language. Pupils of special schools for children who are D/HH filled out the questionnaires during their classes with school principal permission in attendance of teachers who used sign language to help them complete the questionnaire. All participants with hearing loss agreed participate in the study and gave their written informed consent.

The Rosenberg Self-Esteem Scale (RSES)

A 10-item scale that measures global self-worth by measuring both positive and negative feelings about the self: (1) On the whole, I am satisfied with myself; (2) At times I think I am no good at all; (3) I feel that I have a number of good qualities; (4) I am able to do things as well as most other people; (5) I feel I do not have much to be proud of; (6) I certainly feel useless at times; (7) I feel that I'm a person of worth, at least on an equal plane with others; (8) I wish I could have more respect for myself; (9) All in all, I am inclined to feel that I am a failure; (10) I take a positive attitude toward myself [36]. The RSES is believed to be uni-dimensional. All items are answered using a 4-point Likert scale format ranging from strongly agree to strongly disagree. Items 2, 5, 6, 8, 9 are reverse scored. Scale format ranging is categorised as follows: "Strongly Disagree" (SD) – 1 point, "Disagree" (D) – 2 points, "Agree" (A) – 3 points, and "Strongly Agree" (SA) – 4 points and the scores summate for all ten items (total score). Higher scores (of each item as well as of total score) indicate higher SE. In this study a Slovak version of the RSES was used [37].

Data analyses

Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS v. 15.0. Qualitative variables are presented as proportion and percentage. Quantitative variables are presented as mean. Pearson chi-square test was used to determine the differences between the two groups (active and inactive) of people who are D/HH. In current study, only one measurement has been made and one main group formed the study. The level of statistical significance was set at p<0.05.

RESULTS

Participants

In the research participated 23.1 % of actively living people who are D/HH (active) and 76.9 % inactive individuals who are D/HH. The active group of participants is presented by higher number of men (59.3 %) in range of 15-29 years of age (48.1 %). On the other hand the highest number of inactive people who are D/HH was represented mostly by women (60.0 %) in range of 30-44 years of age (43.3 %). The highest numbers of participants of both evaluated groups were totally deaf with hearing loss range 91+ dB. Basic participant's characteristics are presented in Table 1.

		Sport participation of people who are D/HH n (%)			
Basic character	istics of participants	Active	Inactive		
		27 (23.1)	90 (76.9)		
Gender	Men	16 (59.3)	36 (40.0)		
	Women	11 (40.7)	54 (60.0)		
	Range 15-29 yrs	13 (48.1)	24 (26.7)		
1 70	Range 30-44 yrs	7 (25.9)	39 (43.3)		
Age	Range 45-59 yrs	3 (11.2)	19 (21.1)		
	Range 60+ yrs	4 (14.8)	8 (8.9)		
	Moderately severe	9 (33.4)	17 (18.9)		
Degree of hearing loss	Severe	5 (18.5)	22 (24.4)		
	Profound	13 (48.1)	51 (56.7)		

Table 1. Data of the 117 participants.

RSES

The highest SE in the group of people who are D/HH was presented by item number 4 (3.334 points of the mean score), when 41.9 % of respondents strongly agreed and 49.6 % agreed that they are able to do things as well as most other people (table 2). High number of people who are D/HH declared high SE also by items number 7 (3.128 points of the mean score) and 2 (3.034 points of the mean score), when 22.2 % of them strongly agreed and 68.4 % agreed, that they are persons of worth, at least on an equal plane with others and 18.8 % of people who are D/HH strongly disagreed and 67.5 % disagreed, that at times, they think they are no good at all. People who are D/HH are able to do things as well as most other people, they feel that they are persons of worth, at least on an equal plane with others and they don't think they are no good at all.

On the other hand, the lowest SE of people who are D/HH was presented by item number 8 (2.521 of mean score points), when only 3.4 % of them strongly disagreed and 47.0 % disagreed, that they wish, they could have more respect for themselves (table 2). Low SE in people who are D/HH was presented also by item number 9 (2.889 of mean score points), when 28.3 % % of people who are D/HH strongly agreed and agreed that all in all, they inclined to feel that they are a failure. People who are D/HH presenting their low SE by wishing they could have more respect for themselves and all in all, they are inclined to feel that they are a failure. People who are D/HH achieved 28.83 points of total RSES score.

Nr	Item	Scale format ranging (%)				Mean
INI	item		А	D	SD	Iviean
1	On the whole, I am satisfied with myself.	10.3	74.4	15.4	0.0	2.949
2	At times, I think I am no good at all.		12.0	67.5	18.8	3.034
3	I feel that I have a number of good qualities.		81.2	8.5	0.9	2.991
4	I am able to do things as well as most other people.		49.6	8.5	0.0	3.334
5	I feel I do not have much to be proud of.		15.4	70.9	13.7	2.983
6	I certainly feel useless at times.		12.0	72.6	14.5	3.009
7	I feel that I'm a person of worth, at least on an equal.		68.4	9.4	0.0	3.128
8	I wish I could have more respect for myself.		47.0	43.6	6.0	2.521
9	All in all, I am inclined to feel that I am a failure.		27.4	53.8	17.9	2.889
10	I take a positive attitude toward myself.		75.2	10.3	0.9	3.017
Total score					28.83	

Table 2. RSES analyses of people who are D/HH (n=117).

Possible item score range is 1–4 and possible total score range is 10–40; higher mean scores indicate higher SE.

The highest SE in actively living group of people who are D/HH was presented by item number 4 (3.400 points of the mean score), when 45.6 % of active respondents strongly agreed and 48.9 % agreed that they are able to do things as well as most other people (Table 3). High number of people who are D/HH declared high SE also by items number 7 (3.148 points of the mean score) and 2 (3.122 points of the mean score), when 25.9 % of them strongly agreed and 63.0 % agreed, that they are persons of worth, at least on an equal plane with others and 18.9 % of active people who are D/HH strongly disagreed and 74.4 % disagreed, that at times, they think they are no good at all. Actively living people who are D/HH are able to do things as well as most other people, they feel that they are persons of worth, at least on an equal plane with others and they on't think they are no good at all.

On the other hand, the lowest SE of active people who are D/HH was presented by item number 8 (2.533 of mean score points), when only 5.6 % of them strongly disagreed and 45.6 % disagreed, that they wish, they could have more respect for themselves (Table 3). Low SE in active people who are D/HH was further presented by item number 9 (2.911 of mean score points), when 26.7 % % of them agreed that all in all, they inclined to feel that they are a failure. Actively living people who are D/HH presenting their low SE by wishing they could have more respect for themselves and all in all, they are inclined to feel that they are a failure.

NT	Tt and	Scale format ranging (%)				λſ
Nr	Item	SA	А	D	SD	Mean
1	On the whole, I am satisfied with myself.	12.2	74.4	13.3	0.0	2.989
2	At times, I think I am no good at all.	0.0	6.7	74.4	18.9	3.122
3	I feel that I have a number of good qualities.	10.0	81.1	7.8	1.1	3.000
4	I am able to do things as well as most other people.	45.6	48.9	5.6	0.0	3.400
5	I feel I do not have much to be proud of.	0.0	8.9	76.7	14.4	3.056
6	I certainly feel useless at times.	0.0	8.9	76.7	14.4	3.056
7	I feel that I'm a person of worth, at least on an equal.	25.9	63.0	11.1	0.0	3.148
8	I wish I could have more respect for myself.		45.6	45.6	5.6	2.533
9	All in all, I am inclined to feel that I am a failure.		26.7	55.6	17.8	2.911
10	I take a positive attitude toward myself.		77.8	8.9	0.0	3.044
TT + 1					00.10	

Table 3. RSES analyses of active people who are D/HH (n=27).

Total score 30.18

Possible item score range is 1–4 and possible total score range is 10–40; higher mean scores indicate higher SE.

The highest SE in people who are D/HH living sedentary life style was presented by item number 7 (3.122 points of the mean score), when 21.1 % of inactive respondents strongly agreed and 70.0 % agreed that they feel that they are a persons of worth, at least on an equal plane with others (Table 4). High number of inactive people who are D/HH declared high SE also by item number 4 (3.111 points of the mean score), when 29.6 % of them strongly agreed and 51.9 % agreed, that they are able to do things as well as most other people. Inactive people who are D/HH feel that they are persons of worth, at least on an equal plane with others and are able to do things as well as most other people.

On the other hand, the lowest SE of inactive people who are D/HH was also presented by item number 8 (2.533 of mean score points) like in active group of people who are D/HH, when only 7.4 % of them strongly disagreed and 37.0 % disagreed, that they wish, they could have more respect for themselves (Table 4). Low SE in people who are D/HH leading sedentary life style was further presented by item number 2 (2.741 of mean score points), when 7.4 % of them strongly disagreed and 29.6 % % of them agreed that at times, they think they are no good at all. Inactive people who are D/HH presenting their low SE by wishing they could have more respect for themselves and they think they are no good at all.

Nr	Item	Scale format ranging (%)				Mean
INI	Item		А	D	SD	Iviean
1	On the whole, I am satisfied with myself.	3.7	74.1	22.2	0.0	2.815
2	At times, I think I am no good at all.		29.6	44.4	18.5	2.741
3	I feel that I have a number of good qualities.		81.5	11.2	0.0	2.963
4	I am able to do things as well as most other people.		51.9	18.5	0.0	3.111
5	I feel I do not have much to be proud of.		14.4	73.3	12.2	2.978
6	I certainly feel useless at times.		22.2	59.3	14.8	2.852
7	I feel that I'm a person of worth, at least on an equal.		70.0	8.9	0.0	3.122
8	I wish I could have more respect for myself.		51.9	37.0	7.4	2.481
9	All in all, I am inclined to feel that I am a failure.		29.6	48.1	18.5	2.815
10	I take a positive attitude toward myself.		66.7	14.8	3.7	2.926
Total score					28.89	

Table 4. RSES analyses of inactive people who are D/HH (n=90).

Possible item score range is 1–4 and possible total score range is 10–40; higher mean scores indicate higher SE.

Item	Mean				
	Active (n=27)	Inactive (n=90)	X ²	р	
1	2.989	2.815	2.536	0.24	
2	3.122	2.741	18.59**	0.00	
3	3.000	2.963	0.719	0.94	
4	3.400	3.111	5.378	0.35	
5	3.056	2.978	1.141	0.61	
6	3.056	2.852	7.287	0.14	
7	3.148	3.122	0.476	0.82	
8	2.533	2.481	0.648	0.79	
9	2.911	2.815	3.618	0.20	
10	3.044	2.926	4.419	0.16	
Total score	30.18	28.89	8.021	0.07	

Table 5. RSES differences between active and inactive people who are D/HH.

Note. **level of statistical significance p < .01

RSES scores (item's as well total) between active and inactive people who are D/HH revealed higher SE in the group of active people who are D/HH, even only one (item number 2) from 10 items showed significant differences (Table 5). SE total score in active people who are D/HH of 30.18 points and inactive people who are D/HH of 28.9 points didn't present significant differences in SE between active and inactive people with HI. This observed data generally presented higher SE in the group of active people who are D/HH comparing inactive group of respondents, because all mean scores (item's as well total) were higher in the group of active people who are D/HH exceed 3.000 points comparing inactive, where only 20 % of RSES exceed 3.000 points of the mean scores.

DISCUSSION

Although individuals with disabilities often report an absence of positive life experiences because of their disadvantaged social position [38], our results, among the others, also confirmed that regular participation in physical activity and sport is the ideal tool to increase SE not only in healthy population but also in people with disabilities.

The results of our study presented: (a) the status of RSES in people who are D/HH; (b) the SE status of those who regularly participating in physical activity and sport; (c) the SE of

people who are D/HH who not participating in any sport in their leisure time and (d) the RSES comparison between active and inactive individuals who are D/HH.

The mean score of RSES in people who are D/HH was 28.83 points, active people who are D/HH reached totally 30.18 points of RSES score of and group of inactive people who are D/HH achieved the lowest SE total score of 28.89 points. King et al.'s [39] study showed the lack of difference between SE scores in disabled and healthy individuals and based on their results suggested that clinical care approach not to be determined assuming that disabled people have lower SE.

Data analyses of the current study have showed that people who are D/HH in general, and actively living people with HI presented high SE by the same RSES items. They are able to do things as well as most other people, they feel that they are persons of worth, at least on an equal plane with others and they don't think they are no good at all. Inactive people who are D/HH feel that they are persons of worth, at least on an equal plane with others and are able to do things as well as most other people. Findings further presents, that the lowest SE in all evaluated groups of people who are D/HH, no matter if they participating in sport or not, was declared by wishing they could have more respect for themselves.

Heydari et al. [40] showed the difference of SE between disabled and normal students. They found that SE and life satisfaction (LS) is lower in physically disabled people than in normal people. Similar study of Bendíková & Nemček [21] presented the comparison of LS scores between active and inactive healthy participants (HP; n=313) and active and inactive people with noncommunicable diseases (NCDs; n=351). The results of the study demonstrate no significant differences in LS score between active and inactive HP none in one evaluated LS statement neither in overall LS score but the mean scores of all assessed LS statements as well as total mean score pointed to higher LS in group of active HP comparing inactive HP. On the other hand, the LS of active people with NCDs was significantly higher presented by all five statements scores and the overall LS score too.

Another investigation confirmed the lowest SE in the group of sedentary people with disabilities [41] and the highest SE in the group of active HP [21]. Nemček [42] surveyed people with different kinds of disabilities and found no significant differences between genders in SE score, but mean scores declared higher SE in women than men. Differences between active and sedentary people with disabilities show that those, who prefer active life style and participating in sport (elite and sport for all levels) are more satisfied with their life than those, who are not participating in sport at all [43].

CONCLUSION

Based on the aim of the current study, we found that:

- People who are D/HH and actively living people with hearing loss are able to do things as well as most other people. Inactive people who are D/HH declare the highest SE by feeling feel that they are persons of worth, at least on an equal plane with others.
- The lowest SE in all evaluated groups of people who are D/HH, no matter if they participating in sport or not, was declared by wishing they could have more respect for themselves.
- Mean scores of each RSES item as well as of RSES total score point to higher SE in actively living people who are D/HH. Significantly higher SE showed active group of people who are D/HH only in one RSES item.

Generally we can say that the evaluation of SE by the RSES items shows that it is a suitable tool to asses SE in the population with disabilities. The results of our study, mostly mean scores confirmed, that active people who are D/HH achieving higher SE by analyses of RSES items. We recommend, that it is essential to increase participation in sports, because such participation

can empower people with disabilities to set and attain goals and reach a higher SE and quality of life on their own terms.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This research was published as a part of grant project VEGA nr. 1/0726/17

REFERENCES

- 1. WHO Media Centre: Deafness and hearing loss. Available from: http://www.who.int/mediacentre/factsheets/fs300/en/; 2017.
- 2. Uchida W, Marsh H. & Hashimoto K.: Predisctors and correlates of self-esteem in deaf athletes. European Journal of Adapted Physical Activity 2015;8(1):21–30.
- 3. Baumeister RF, Campbell JD, Krueger JI & Vohs KD: Does high selfesteem cause better performance, interpersonal success, happiness, or healthier lifestyles? Psychological Science in the Public Interest 2003;4(1):1-44.
- 4. Fox KR & Corbin CB: The physical self-perception profile: Development and preliminary validation. Journal of Sport and Exercise Psychology 1989;11(4):408–430.
- 5. Sonstroem RJ: Exercise and selfesteem. W. P. Morgan (Ed.), Exercise and mental health (pp. 128–143). Washington DC: Taylor & Francis;1997.
- 6. Jambor E. & Elliott M: Self-esteem and coping strategies among deaf students. Journal of Deaf Studies and Deaf Education 2005;10(1):63–81.
- 7. Hintermair M: Self-esteem and satisfaction with life of deaf and hard-ofhearing people: A resource-oriented approach to identity work. Journal of Deaf Studies and Deaf Education. 2007;13(2):278–300.
- 8. Bendíková E: Význam pohybovej aktivity v spôsobe života senioriek. [Meaning of physical activity in senior's life style]. Telesná výchova a šport [Physical Education and Sport] 2010;20(4):10-15. [In Slovak]
- 9. Bendíková E: Lifestyle, physical and sports education and health benefits of physical activity. European researcher : international multidisciplinary journal 2014;69(2-2):343-348.
- Shek YL & McEwen MK.: The relationships of racial identity and gender role conflict to selfesteem of Asian American undergraduate men. Journal of College Student Development 2012;53(5):703–718.
- 11. Nemček D: Cognitive element of subjective well-being of the Slovak population. Physical Activity, Health and Prevention: International Scientific Conference (pp. 62-67). Žilina: IPV Institute of Education, 2016.
- 12. Johnson VRF & Yarhouse MA: Shame in sexual minorities: Stigma, internal cognitions, and counseling considerations. Counseling and Values 2013;58(1):85–103.
- 13. Salehi M, Tavakol KH, Shabani M & Ziaei T: The Relationship Between Self-Esteem and Sexual Self-Concept in People With Physical-Motor Disabilities. Iranian Red Crescent Medical Journal 2014;17(1):1-7.
- 14. Crocker J & Major B: Social stigma and self-esteem: The self-protective properties of stigma. Psychological Review 1989;96(4):608–630.
- 15. Labudová J, Nemček J & Kraček S: Šport na každý deň. [Sport for everyday]. Bratislava: END spol.s.r.o., 2015 [In Slovak]
- Bendíková E & Labudová J: Športové aktivity žien z hľadiska zdravia a sociálnej inklúzie [Sport activities in women from health and social inclusion point of view]. Šport a rekreácia 2012: zborník vedeckých prác. [Sport and Recreation 2012 proceedings] (pp. 3-11). Nitra: UKF, PF, 2012. [In Slovak]
- 17. Bardel M, Fontayne P, Colombel F & Schiphof L: Effects of match result and social comparison on sport state self-esteem fluctuations. Psychology of Sport and Exercise 2010;11(3):171–176.
- 18. Adie JW, Duda JL & Ntoumanis N: Achievement goals, competition appraisals, and the psychological and emotional welfare of sport participants. Journal of Sport and Exercise Psychology 2008;30(3):302–322.

- 19. Onagbiye SO, Moss SJ & Cameron M: Managing Noncommunicable Diseases in an African Community: Effects, Compliance, and Barriers to Participation in a 4-Week Exercise Intervention. International Quarterly of Community Health Education 2016;36(3):165–76.
- 20. Moss S, Oviedo GR, Tamulevicius N & Cameron M: The effect of a 12-week exercise intervention on risk factors of non-communicable diseases in black African population: the B-Healthy study a controlled trial. Available from: <u>http://www.ltmic.co.za/sessions/the-effect-of-a-12-week-exercise-intervention-on-risk-factors-of-non-communicable-diseases-in-black-african-population-the-b-healthy-study-a-controlled-trial/;2016.</u>
- 21. Bendíková E & Nemček D: Life satisfaction in healthy people and people with noncommunicable diseases: Differences between active and inactive individuals. Sport Science 2016;9(2):19-23.
- 22. Kurková P: Vzdělávání žáků se sluchovým postížením z hlediska vedení ke zdravému životnímu stylu. [The education of pupils who are deaf or hard of hearing from guiding to healthy life style point of view]. Olomouc: Palacky University in Olomouc, 2010. [In Czech]
- 23. Nemček D: Quality of life of people with disabilities: differences in satisfaction with indicators and domains between active and inactive individuals. Physical Activity Review 2016;4(4):62-71.
- 24. Nemček D: Quality of life of people with disabilities from sport participation point of view. Acta Facultatis Educationis Physicae Universitatis Comenianae 2016;56(2):77-92.
- 25. Lundqvist C: Well-being in competitive sport The feel-good factor? A review of conceptual considerations of well-being. International Review of Sport and Exercise Psychology 2011;4(2):109–127.
- 26. Kurková P, Válková H. & Scheetz N: Factors impacting participation of European elite deaf athletes in sport. Journal of Sports Sciences 2011;29(6):607–618.
- 27. Bartholomew KJ, Ntoumanis N, Ryan RM & Thogersen-Ntoumani C: Psychological need thwarting in the sport context: Assessing the darker side of athletic experience. Journal of Sport and Exercise Psychology 2011;33(1):75–102.
- 28. Munoz-Baell IM & Ruiz MT: Empowering the deaf. Let the deaf be deaf. Journal of Epidemiology and Community Health 2000;54(1):40–44.
- 29. Kurková P: Emotions in the physical activi ties of Czech students who are deaf or hard of hearing in general and special education. Journal of Physical Education and Sport 2015;15(4):823–828.
- 30. Crocker J, & Major B: Social stigma and self-esteem: The self-protective properties of stigma. Psychological Review 1989;96(4):608–630.
- 31. Schick B, Skalicky A, Edwards T, Kushalnagar P, Topolski T & Patrick D: School placement and perceived quality of life in youth who are deaf or hard of hearing. Journal of Deaf Studies and Deaf Education 2013;18(1):47–61.
- 32. Bat-Chava Y: Antecedents of self-esteem in deaf people: A meta-analytic review. Rehabilitation Psychology 1993;38(4):221–234.
- 33. Kurková P & Maertin JJ: The benefits of square dancing as a means of physical activity for Czech dancers with hearing loss. Acta Gymnica 2014;44(4):223–230.
- 34. Kurková P, Scheetz NA & Stelzer J: Health and physical education as an important part of school curricula: A comparison of schools for the deaf in the Czech Republic and the United States. American Annals of the Deaf 2010;155(1):78–87.
- 35. Punch R. & Hyde M: Social participation of children and adolescents with cochlear implants: A qualitative analysis of parent, teacher, and child interviews. Journal of Deaf Studies and Deaf Education 2011;16(4):474–493.
- 36. Rosenberg M: Society and the adolescent self-image. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press;1965.
- 37. Nemček D, Labudová J & Oršulová N: Self-esteem in people with disabilities. Acta Facultatis Educationis physicae Universitatis Comenianae 2014;54(2):33-42.
- 38. Tam S: Comparing the self-concepts of persons with and without physical disabilities. Journal of Psychology 1998;132(1):78–86.
- 39. King GA, Shultz IZ, Steel K, Gilpin M & Carthers T: Self-evaluation and self-concept of adolescents with physical disabilities. Am J Occup Ther 1993;47(2):132–140.
- 40. Heydari A, Mashak R & Darvishi H: Compare of the Self-Efficacy, Loneliness, Fear of success and Satisfaction in Physically Disabled Students with Normal Students in Ahvaz Islamic Azad University. New Find Psychology 2009;10(4):7–26.

- 41. Nemček D: Life satisfaction of people with disabilities: a comparison between active and sedentary individuals. Journal of Physical Education and Sport 2016;16(2):1084-1088.
- 42. Nemček D: Life satisfaction of people with disabilities. Theory and practice in adapted physical activity (pp. 46). Olsztyń, Poland: Olsztyńska szkola wyźsza Im. Józefa Rusieckiego, 2013.
- 43. Nemček D, Labudová J, Javanainen-Levonen T & Wittmannová J: Quality of life between active population and population with sedentary behavior. EUCAPA-European Congress of Adapted Physical Activity (pp. 1). Madrid, Spain: Universidad politécnica de Madrid, 2014.

Cite this article as:

Nemček D. Self-esteem analyses in people who are deaf or hard of hearing: a comparison between active and inactive individuals, Phys Activ Rev 2017, 5: 95-104